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GHG emissions accelerate despite reduction efforts. Most emission growth is CO$_2$ from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes.
GHG emissions rise with growth in GDP and population; long-standing trend of decarbonisation of energy reversed.
Without more mitigation, global mean surface temperature might increase by 3.7° to 4.8°C over the 21st century.
Mitigation requires major technological and institutional changes including the upscaling of low- and zero carbon energy.
Delaying mitigation is estimated to increase the difficulty and narrow the options for limiting warming to 2°C.
Estimates for mitigation costs vary widely.

- Reaching 450ppm CO$_2$eq entails consumption losses of 1.7% (1%-4%) by 2030, 3.4% (2% to 6%) by 2050 and 4.8% (3%-11%) by 2100 relative to baseline (which grows between 300% to 900% over the course of the century).

- This is equivalent to a reduction in consumption growth over the 21st century by about 0.06 (0.04-0.14) percentage points a year (relative to annualized consumption growth that is between 1.6% and 3% per year).

- Cost estimates exclude benefits of mitigation (reduced impacts from climate change). They also exclude other benefits (e.g. improvements for local air quality).

- Cost estimates are based on a series of assumptions.
Mitigation can result in large co-benefits for human health and other societal goals.
Mitigation requires changes throughout the economy. Efforts in one sector determine mitigation efforts in others.

Direct Sectoral CO₂ and Non-CO₂ GHG Emissions in Baseline and Mitigation Scenarios with and without CCS
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Substantial reductions in emissions would require large changes in investment patterns.
Since AR4, there has been an increased focus on policies designed to integrate multiple objectives, increase co-benefits and reduce adverse side-effects.

- Sector-specific policies have been more widely used than economy-wide policies.
- Regulatory approaches and information measures are widely used, and are often environmentally effective.
- Since AR4, cap and trade systems for GHGs have been established in a number of countries and regions.
- In some countries, tax-based policies specifically aimed at reducing GHG emissions—alongside technology and other policies—have helped to weaken the link between GHG emissions and GDP.
- The reduction of subsidies for GHG-related activities in various sectors can achieve emission reductions, depending on the social and economic context.
Effective mitigation will not be achieved if individual agents advance their own interests independently.

• Existing and proposed international climate change cooperation arrangements vary in their focus and degree of centralization and coordination.

• Issues of equity, justice, and fairness arise with respect to mitigation and adaptation.

• Climate policy may be informed by a consideration of a diverse array of risks and uncertainties, some of which are difficult to measure, notably events that are of low probability but which would have a significant impact if they occur.